

AI-Driven Optimization of Multilayer Ceramic Capacitor Materials for High-Frequency Electronics

Felix D. Carr

School of Information Technology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA.
felixcarr@uc.edu

Jean Sorensen

Department of Computer Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
sorensenjean@colostate.edu

Darren Douglas

Department of Computer Science, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA.
darren.douglas@uab.edu

Abstract

Multilayer ceramic capacitors are essential passive components in high-frequency electronic systems, where they must satisfy demanding and often conflicting requirements for volumetric efficiency, temperature stability, low dielectric loss, and long-term reliability. Traditional materials development in this domain has relied on empirical formulation and processing iteration, which is slow, costly, and difficult to reproduce across manufacturing environments. This paper examines how artificial intelligence can accelerate the optimization of dielectric ceramics, electrode compatibility, and multilayer architectures. It presents a system-level perspective that integrates high-throughput experimentation, materials informatics, active learning, and uncertainty-aware model deployment. The discussion emphasizes structural trade-offs among dielectric constant, loss tangent, grain size, layer thickness, and high-frequency parasitic effects. Rather than focusing narrowly on predictive accuracy, the paper addresses architectural requirements for data infrastructure, ontology design, feature engineering, and workflow orchestration in industrial and research settings. It further considers deployment challenges such as distribution shift, model robustness, qualification constraints, and human oversight. Governance, fairness, sustainability, and policy implications are analyzed in the context of critical materials supply chains, reproducibility, and global research equity. The paper argues that effective AI-driven optimization of multilayer ceramic capacitors requires an integrated socio-technical system in which machine learning models, experimental platforms, manufacturing data, and regulatory frameworks co-evolve. Aqueous tape casting progress for ultrathin barium titanate films illustrates the importance of process-level innovation in expanding the design space. The findings provide a forward-looking framework for researchers, process engineers, and policymakers seeking to align computational acceleration with responsible and scalable materials manufacturing.

Keywords

multilayer ceramic capacitors; high-frequency dielectrics; materials informatics; active learning; robustness; governance.

1. Introduction

The increasing density of high-frequency electronic systems, from fifth-generation communication modules to automotive radar and power conversion circuits, has elevated the importance of passive component performance. Multilayer ceramic capacitors are central to these systems because they combine high capacitance density, low equivalent series resistance, and compact form factor. Yet the chemical and microstructural design space for these components is vast, spanning base dielectric formulations, dopant distributions, particle size, tape casting conditions, lamination profiles, and co-firing schedules. Conventional experimental development has been effective but is often slow, expensive, and difficult to reproduce across manufacturing sites. In response, artificial intelligence methods have been introduced to accelerate materials discovery and process optimization [1,2,3,4]. Machine learning models can screen candidate compositions, identify latent structure-property relationships, and guide experimental design. However, the translation of these methods to industrial multilayer ceramic capacitor production requires more than algorithmic sophistication; it demands systemic changes in data collection, workflow orchestration, quality assurance, and governance.

A central challenge is that target properties for high-frequency capacitors conflict in important ways. Increasing permittivity may improve capacitance density but may also increase dielectric loss or reduce temperature stability. Ultrathin layers can reduce component size but introduce higher electric field gradients and greater sensitivity to grain size variation. Machine learning methods, especially active learning and uncertainty-aware adaptive sampling, have shown promise in navigating such multidimensional trade-offs [5,6]. These approaches treat material selection as a sequential decision problem in which each experiment or simulation reduces uncertainty in a targeted region. For multilayer ceramic capacitors, this perspective is particularly relevant because performance is governed not only by intrinsic material chemistry but also by electrode continuity, interface roughness, defect chemistry, and residual stress [7]. The present paper examines these interactions from a systems perspective. It does not emphasize predictive modeling in isolation; instead, it addresses the broader architecture needed to make data-driven optimization robust, interpretable, and institutionally sustainable.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 discusses the high-frequency materials design constraints and structural trade-offs that shape multilayer ceramic capacitor optimization. Section 3 considers data infrastructure and materials informatics architectures. Section 4 analyzes machine learning strategies for composition, processing, and microstructure design. Section 5 examines system-level trade-offs in workflow design and deployment. Section 6 addresses robustness and uncertainty management in production environments. Section 7 explores governance, fairness, sustainability, and policy implications. The conclusion synthesizes the findings and proposes a responsible integration pathway for AI-driven ceramic capacitor innovation.

2. High-Frequency Dielectric Materials and Structural Trade-offs

High-frequency multilayer ceramic capacitors are typically based on barium titanate that has been carefully modified with additives to shift the Curie point, flatten the temperature coefficient, and suppress dielectric loss. The material must operate across a wide temperature range without excessive capacitance change, and it must retain performance under DC bias, AC ripple, and thermal cycling. Process-level innovations such as aqueous tape casting have recently shown that high-quality ultrathin barium titanate films can be fabricated for advanced multilayer ceramic capacitor applications [8]. The historical shift from precious metal

electrodes to nickel-based base metal systems introduced additional constraints because the dielectric must be co-fired in reducing atmospheres without excessive oxygen vacancy formation or insulation resistance degradation [9]. Electrical design perspectives clarify that the capacitor is not merely an electric energy storage element; it participates as a distributed component in high-frequency circuits where parasitic inductance, electrode geometry, and dielectric relaxation determine impedance behavior. Historical process development has generated large repositories of failed formulations and nonobvious dopant interactions, and these negative results are increasingly recognized as valuable training signals for machine learning models [10]. Electrical design perspectives clarify that the capacitor is not merely an energy storage element; it participates in the system as a frequency-dependent network element whose effective behavior includes contact resistance, current crowding, and electromagnetic coupling effects [11]. This system view is important because optimizing a powder formulation without considering electrode thickness, internal electrode continuity, and termination layout can lead to limited performance gains at the component level. For high-frequency modules, the capacitor often must provide decoupling over a broad frequency range, and its impedance profile is shaped by layer count, active area, and residual inductance as much as by the permittivity of the dielectric layer. The design space therefore extends beyond dielectric chemistry into multilayer architecture and packaging geometry.

Several structural trade-offs govern multilayer ceramic capacitor development. Increasing the number of active layers raises capacitance but also increases total thickness and may reduce mechanical robustness under thermal cycling. Thinner dielectric layers improve volumetric efficiency but intensify electric field gradients, making the component more susceptible to breakdown under voltage bias. Finer grain size generally improves layer uniformity but can suppress ferroelectric domain mobility and alter the dielectric response. Dopants such as rare earth elements can improve reliability by controlling grain growth and oxygen vacancy concentration, but excessive doping can degrade permittivity. Aqueous tape casting has emerged as a process-level route for ultrathin barium titanate films, offering an environmentally preferable alternative to solvent-based systems while preserving the green tape quality needed for high layer count architectures [8]. The co-firing of base metal electrodes further constrains the process because the dielectric must survive reducing atmospheres without excessive oxygen vacancy formation or insulation resistance degradation [9]. These trade-offs are inherently multidimensional and cannot be resolved by single-property optimization. High-throughput characterization and computational screening provide a means to map these responses across compositional and processing variations [16]. At the same time, layer scaling and materials qualification remain central bottlenecks in next-generation multilayer ceramic capacitors [12]. Consequently, a systems approach that couples process innovation with data-driven optimization offers a more realistic path toward performance gains than isolated composition tuning.

3. Data Infrastructure and Materials Informatics Architecture

The effectiveness of AI-driven optimization depends heavily on the quality, coverage, and interoperability of the underlying data infrastructure. Ceramic capacitor development generates heterogeneous data from raw powder characterization, slurry rheology, tape thickness profiles, lamination pressure, co-firing atmosphere, microstructural imaging, and final electrical testing. These data are often stored in fragmented laboratory logs, equipment-specific formats, and proprietary databases. Without structured metadata and common ontologies, machine learning models cannot reliably distinguish meaningful process-property

signals from batch-to-batch noise. Public repositories such as the Materials Project illustrate how systematic data curation can enable large-scale property prediction and accelerate materials discovery [13]. However, multilayer ceramic capacitor data include substantial confidential process knowledge, which limits the direct extension of open repository models. A practical architecture must therefore support both proprietary and shared data layers, with clear access controls and governance mechanisms.

The adoption of FAIR principles, which emphasize findability, accessibility, interoperability, and reusability, is essential for building trustworthy ceramic capacitor datasets [14]. Interoperability requires that dielectric composition, dopant concentration, particle size distribution, and sintering profile be represented in consistent units and semantic structures. It also requires that measurement conditions, such as frequency, AC voltage, DC bias, and temperature, be recorded alongside every capacitance and loss measurement. Data-driven materials science has increasingly recognized that missing contextual information is a major source of unexplained variance and model brittleness [15]. In industrial settings, retrospective data often suffer from selection bias because experiments were conducted to solve immediate production problems rather than to map the full design space. Failed experiments are usually discarded or poorly documented, yet they contain valuable information about forbidden regions and failure modes [10]. A robust informatics architecture should therefore capture both successful and unsuccessful trials with equal rigor.

Beyond data management, high-throughput experimentation platforms have begun to close the loop between synthesis, characterization, and modeling. These platforms generate large compositional libraries and rapid microstructural readouts, but their throughput can overwhelm conventional analysis pipelines [16]. Effective systems design therefore requires automated data validation, anomaly detection, and feature extraction before model training. Data lineage must be traceable from raw measurement to curated feature table, enabling audit and reanalysis. Semantic interoperability is particularly important when combining data from different tape casting lines, sintering furnaces, or electrode materials. The architecture should support evolving schemas because ceramic capacitor formulations and processing requirements shift as layer thickness decreases and new dielectric compositions enter production. Finally, the infrastructure should incorporate human review at critical decision points to prevent automated pipelines from propagating errors or violating safety constraints.

4. Machine Learning Strategies for Composition, Processing, and Microstructure Design

Machine learning for multilayer ceramic capacitors must address three interacting layers of design: chemical composition, powder processing, and multilayer architecture. Compositional models have historically focused on predicting permittivity, dielectric loss, and insulation resistance from dopant levels and base material characteristics. Active learning strategies are particularly valuable in this context because they select new experiments that maximize expected information gain while balancing exploration of uncertain regions and exploitation of promising candidates [17]. Early work on Bayesian optimization and adaptive design demonstrated that sequential experimentation can identify high-performance materials with substantially fewer trials than traditional factorial or one-variable-at-a-time campaigns [11]. In ceramic capacitor development, such adaptive loops can be used to explore dopant combinations that improve temperature stability without sacrificing capacitance density.

General-purpose machine learning frameworks have also proven useful for building predictive models across multiple material families [18]. These models rely on descriptors derived from elemental properties, stoichiometric features, and processing variables. For

limited datasets, which are common in specialized ceramic systems, feature selection and transfer learning become critical because the number of candidate descriptors can exceed the number of experimental observations [19]. Physics-informed feature engineering can reduce this burden by incorporating known relationships among ionic radius, valence state, tolerance factor, and defect chemistry. However, model performance must not be assessed solely on interpolation accuracy within a narrow dataset. High-frequency capacitor applications require extrapolation toward new layer thicknesses, electrode materials, and co-firing conditions, which increases the risk that a model trained on historical data will fail under distribution shift.

Microstructure-aware learning is a growing area because dielectric performance depends strongly on grain size, grain boundary chemistry, and electrode-dielectric interface quality. Graph neural networks and other structure-sensitive models have shown promise for representing atomic and mesoscale environments in a data-efficient manner [21]. In multilayer ceramic capacitors, these methods can be extended to encode microstructural features such as pore distribution, electrode continuity, and grain orientation. Such representations are more informative than bulk composition alone, but they require high-quality image segmentation and reproducible feature extraction. Combining microstructure predictions with process models enables a more complete design loop, in which the machine learning system recommends not only what to make but also how to process it. The interplay between tape casting parameters, lamination pressure, and sintering schedule can be learned from data if the system records sufficiently detailed process histories. This integrated perspective aligns with autonomous experimentation paradigms that treat materials discovery, process optimization, and component qualification as a continuous workflow rather than separate stages [20].

5. Workflow Design and Deployment Trade-offs

Translating machine learning models from exploratory research into manufacturing deployment involves significant architectural decisions. A common failure mode is to develop a highly accurate model in a controlled laboratory setting and then deploy it without accounting for production variability, equipment drift, or raw material substitutions. Workflow design must therefore address model lifecycle management, including retraining schedules, performance monitoring, and rollback procedures. Autonomous experimentation systems have demonstrated that closed-loop materials development can accelerate discovery, but they also introduce operational risks if human oversight is insufficient [20]. In ceramic capacitor production, a poorly calibrated model recommendation could lead to a suboptimal dielectric formulation reaching pilot scale, where the cost of failure is considerably higher than in a laboratory test.

The deployment architecture should integrate machine learning outputs with statistical process control and manufacturing execution systems. Model predictions should be accompanied by uncertainty estimates that inform whether a recommendation can be implemented automatically or requires engineering review. Interpretability is another important factor because process engineers must understand why a model recommends a particular dopant change or sintering profile. Black-box predictions may be acceptable for screening large libraries, but they are less acceptable for modifying a qualified production process. This creates a trade-off between model complexity and operational transparency. In regulated high-reliability electronics sectors, such as automotive and aerospace, qualification requirements impose additional constraints because changes to materials or processes must be

validated through extensive reliability testing. Machine learning can prioritize qualification experiments, but it cannot yet replace the physical testing required for certification.

The physical infrastructure for AI-driven ceramic capacitor optimization includes not only computational resources but also automated powder dispensing, tape casting, lamination, and electrical characterization equipment. The orchestration of these resources requires careful design of experiment queues, data transfer protocols, and failure recovery mechanisms. If an automated system lacks robust error handling, a single failed deposition or contaminated batch can propagate through the pipeline and corrupt the training data. A well-designed workflow includes data validation gates that detect outliers, missing metadata, and unexpected instrument behavior before data enter the model training set. The deployment architecture also needs to manage proprietary boundaries because capacitor manufacturers may be reluctant to share detailed formulation data with external research collaborators. Federated learning and model sharing without raw data exchange are possible, but they require secure infrastructure and careful incentive design.

6. Robustness and Uncertainty Management in Production Environments

Robustness is a central concern when machine learning models are used to guide ceramic capacitor design. Models trained on historical data may perform well on the distribution of batches represented in the training set but fail when raw material suppliers change, sintering furnaces age, or electrode pastes are reformulated. Distribution shift can lead to overconfident predictions and poor experimental recommendations. Uncertainty quantification is therefore essential for safe deployment. Bayesian approaches and ensemble methods can provide predictive intervals that help researchers distinguish between regions of the design space that are well characterized and regions that require additional data. Active learning methods that explicitly use uncertainty to select experiments are more resilient to sparse and noisy data than passive screening strategies [17]. However, uncertainty estimates themselves can be unreliable if the model is misspecified or if the data contain systematic bias.

In high-frequency multilayer ceramic capacitor development, robustness also applies to the interaction between dielectric materials and co-fired electrode systems. A model that optimizes dielectric loss under one electrode chemistry may not transfer to another electrode system because interface reactions and diffusion behavior differ. The same issue arises with layer thickness scaling, where models calibrated at five micrometers may not predict behavior at one micrometer. Physical constraints should be incorporated into the modeling workflow to prevent recommendations that violate known solubility limits, phase stability boundaries, or thermal budget constraints. Data-efficient models that incorporate structural and compositional priors are particularly valuable when experimental data are limited [19]. Graph-based representations can improve transferability across chemically related compositions [21]. Nevertheless, model transfer must be validated against targeted experiments before production changes are authorized.

Reliability qualification presents a distinctive robustness challenge. Multilayer ceramic capacitors are expected to operate for years under temperature and voltage stress, but accelerated life testing data are expensive and time-consuming to generate. Machine learning models that predict long-term reliability from short-term electrical measurements or microstructural indicators could reduce qualification time, but they must be validated across multiple failure modes. The models should not be optimized to predict mean time to failure without also capturing the tails of the lifetime distribution, because early failures drive field reliability and warranty costs. Robustness therefore includes not only predictive accuracy but

also sensitivity to rare events, unknown failure mechanisms, and evolving manufacturing conditions. In this context, human domain expertise remains indispensable for interpreting model outputs and deciding whether a recommendation warrants the risk of production qualification.

7. Governance, Fairness, Sustainability, and Policy Implications

AI-driven optimization of multilayer ceramic capacitors does not occur in a governance vacuum. The broader ethical and policy context shapes how data are collected, who can access them, and how automated recommendations are translated into manufacturing decisions. Ethical frameworks for artificial intelligence emphasize transparency, accountability, privacy, and the promotion of human flourishing [22]. In the materials domain, these principles translate into questions about who bears responsibility when an algorithm recommends a formulation that later fails in the field, and how proprietary data can be shared without disadvantaging smaller manufacturers. Fairness concerns arise in global research collaborations where institutions in different countries may have unequal access to high-throughput equipment, computational resources, and curated datasets. Open data initiatives can reduce some of these disparities, but they must also respect legitimate commercial confidentiality and national security restrictions.

Sustainability is an increasingly important dimension of ceramic capacitor design. The shift toward aqueous tape casting is significant not only for performance but also for reducing volatile organic solvent emissions in manufacturing [8]. AI-guided process optimization can further reduce energy consumption by identifying sintering profiles that achieve densification at lower temperatures or shorter dwell times. It can also support the search for dielectric compositions that use fewer critical minerals or that tolerate higher levels of recycled raw materials. However, the computational cost of large-scale materials simulations and machine learning model training should be included in sustainability assessments. A fully autonomous optimization campaign may consume substantial electricity, and the environmental benefit of the resulting component must be weighed against the energy required to discover it.

Policy implications extend to standards and reproducibility. Journal editors, funding agencies, and industrial consortia increasingly expect machine learning studies to report data provenance, model uncertainty, and reproducibility conditions. For ceramic capacitor materials, standardized reporting of tape casting parameters, electrode ink characteristics, and co-firing atmosphere is necessary to make model predictions comparable across laboratories. Regulatory bodies may eventually require documented validation of AI-assisted material changes in safety-critical electronic components. This does not imply that every machine learning recommendation must be treated as a formal qualification, but it does suggest that organizations should maintain audit trails linking model predictions to experimental evidence and human review decisions. Governance mechanisms should be designed to be adaptive because the pace of materials data generation and model development will continue to accelerate. A responsible AI strategy for multilayer ceramic capacitor optimization therefore integrates technical, organizational, and policy considerations rather than treating machine learning as a purely computational add-on.

8. Conclusion

AI-driven optimization of multilayer ceramic capacitor materials for high-frequency electronics offers substantial potential to accelerate the discovery of dielectric formulations, processing windows, and multilayer architectures that satisfy demanding performance,

reliability, and sustainability requirements. This paper has argued that the full value of these methods cannot be realized through algorithmic improvement alone. The structural trade-offs among permittivity, loss, grain size, layer thickness, and electrode compatibility are deeply intertwined, and they require a systems perspective that links material chemistry, tape casting, lamination, co-firing, and component-level electromagnetic behavior. Data infrastructure must be designed to capture heterogeneous process histories, negative results, and microstructural features in interoperable and FAIR-aligned formats. Machine learning strategies should combine active learning, transfer learning, and structure-aware representations while respecting physical constraints and limited dataset conditions. Deployment architectures must integrate uncertainty quantification, human oversight, and robust monitoring to manage distribution shift and qualification risk. Governance, fairness, and policy considerations further shape how these technologies can be used responsibly in global research and industrial supply chains. By treating AI-driven materials optimization as a socio-technical system, researchers and manufacturers can move beyond isolated proof-of-concept studies toward scalable, reproducible, and responsible innovation in high-frequency ceramic capacitors.

References

1. Ramprasad, R., Batra, R., Pilania, G., Mannodi-Kanakkithodi, A., & Kim, C. (2017). Machine learning in materials informatics: Recent applications and prospects. *npj Computational Materials*, 3, 54.
2. Butler, K. T., Davies, D. W., Cartwright, H., Isayev, O., & Walsh, A. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. *Nature*, 559(7715), 547–555.
3. Schmidt, J., Marques, M. R. G., Botti, S., & Marques, M. A. L. (2019). Recent advances and applications of machine learning in solid-state materials science. *npj Computational Materials*, 5, 83.
4. Morgan, D., & Jacobs, R. (2020). Opportunities and challenges for machine learning in materials science. *JOM*, 72(5), 1820–1831.
5. Lookman, T., Balachandran, P. V., Xue, D., & Yuan, R. (2019). Active learning in materials science with emphasis on adaptive sampling using uncertainties. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 4(5), 331–347.
6. Balachandran, P. V., Xue, D., Theiler, J., Kiggins, P., & Lookman, T. (2016). Adaptive strategies for materials design using uncertainties. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 19660.
7. Ghiringhelli, L. M., Vybiral, J., Levchenko, S. V., Draxl, C., & Scheffler, M. (2015). Big data of materials science: Critical role of the descriptor. *Physical Review Letters*, 114(10), 105503.
8. Luan, S., He, H., Zhang, L., Yu, J., Cao, X., Wang, J., ... & Sun, R. (2025). Fabrication of High Performance BaTiO₃ Films via Aqueous Tape Casting for ultrathin MLCC applications. *Ceramics International*.
9. Kishi, H., Mizuno, Y., & Chazono, H. (2003). Base-metal electrode-multilayer ceramic capacitors: Past, present and future perspectives. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 42(1), 1–15.

10. Raccuglia, P., Elbert, K. C., Adler, P. D. F., Falk, C., Wenny, M. B., Mollo, A., ... & Norquist, A. J. (2016). Machine-learning-assisted materials discovery using failed experiments. *Nature*, 533(7601), 73–76.
11. Pan, M.-J., & Randall, C. A. (2010). A brief introduction to ceramic capacitors. *IEEE Electrical Insulation Magazine*, 26(3), 44–50.
12. Hong, K., Lee, T. H., Suh, J. M., Yoon, S.-H., & Jang, H. W. (2019). Perspectives and challenges in multilayer ceramic capacitors for next generation electronics. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 7(32), 9782–9802.
13. Jain, A., Ong, S. P., Hautier, G., Chen, W., Richards, W. D., Dacek, S., ... & Persson, K. A. (2013). Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Materials*, 1(1), 011002.
14. Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., ... & Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data*, 3, 160018.
15. Sun, S., Ouyang, R., Zhang, B., & Zhang, T.-Y. (2019). Data-driven materials science: Status, challenges, and perspectives. *Advanced Theory and Simulations*, 2(10), 1900119.
16. Hattrick-Simpers, J. R., Gregoire, J. M., & Kusne, A. G. (2019). Perspective: Composition-structure-property mapping in high-throughput experiments: Turning data deluge into knowledge. *APL Materials*, 7(5), 050901.
17. Xue, D., Balachandran, P. V., Hogden, J., Theiler, J., Xue, D., & Lookman, T. (2016). Accelerated search for materials with targeted properties by adaptive design. *Nature Communications*, 7, 11241.
18. Ward, L., Agrawal, A., Choudhary, A., & Wolverton, C. (2016). A general-purpose machine learning framework for predicting properties of inorganic materials. *npj Computational Materials*, 2, 16028.
19. De Breuck, P.-P., Hautier, G., & Rignanese, G.-M. (2021). Materials property prediction for limited datasets enabled by feature selection and joint learning with MODNet. *npj Computational Materials*, 7, 83.
20. Stach, E., DeCost, B., Kusne, A. G., Hattrick-Simpers, J., Brown, K. A., Reyes, K. G., ... & Maruyama, B. (2021). Autonomous experimentation systems for materials development: A community perspective. *Matter*, 4(9), 2702–2726.
21. Batzner, S., Musaelian, A., Sun, L., Geiger, M., Mailoa, J. P., Kornbluth, M., ... & Kozinsky, B. (2022). E(3)-equivariant graph neural networks for data-efficient and accurate interatomic potentials. *Nature Communications*, 13, 2453.
22. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.