

Multiscale Modeling of BaTiO₃-Based Dielectric Materials for Miniaturized Electronic Devices

Cornelio Montana

School of Computing, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA.
montana1987@clemson.edu

Aleks Tolak

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of Missouri,
Columbia, MO, USA.
alekstolak76@missouri.edu

Abstract

Miniaturized electronic devices increasingly depend on dielectric materials that can sustain high electric fields, thermal cycling, mechanical stress, and long operational lifetimes within extremely constrained volumes. BaTiO₃-based dielectrics remain central to multilayer ceramic capacitors, embedded passive components, and advanced power delivery architectures because their perovskite structure supports high permittivity and tunable ferroelectric behavior. However, the performance of these materials cannot be understood solely at the unit-cell or device level. It emerges from coupled phenomena spanning atomic bonding, domain wall motion, grain boundaries, film texture, electrode interfaces, package-level thermal management, and manufacturing infrastructure. This paper develops a system-level examination of multiscale modeling for BaTiO₃-based dielectric materials in miniaturized electronic devices. It argues that credible modeling frameworks must integrate electronic-structure insight, mesoscale defect and domain descriptions, continuum electromechanical formulations, and manufacturing-aware statistical representations. These technical layers are further entangled with structural trade-offs involving capacitance density, breakdown strength, leakage, fatigue, and integration complexity. The discussion also extends to reliability governance, sustainability, resource justice, and policy implications, because dielectric miniaturization depends on critical materials, energy-intensive processing, and globally distributed supply chains. The paper concludes that multiscale modeling should be treated not merely as a simulation technique but as a socio-technical infrastructure for design assurance, robustness assessment, fair access, and responsible deployment in next-generation electronic systems.

Keywords

multiscale modeling; BaTiO₃; multilayer ceramic capacitors; miniaturized electronics; dielectric reliability; materials governance; sustainability; socio-technical infrastructure.

1. Introduction

The trajectory of modern electronics has been defined by relentless miniaturization, yet the physical limits of miniaturization are not only lithographic. They are also dielectric, thermal, mechanical, and infrastructural. BaTiO₃-based materials occupy a privileged position in this landscape because they combine high permittivity with ferroelectric and piezoelectric tunability, making them suitable for multilayer ceramic capacitors, embedded decoupling layers, energy storage modules, and integrated passive devices [1]. As devices shrink, the

dielectric layer must become thinner, the number of layers must increase, and the electric field per unit thickness must rise. These changes amplify sensitivity to defects, interfaces, grain morphology, and processing history [2]. Consequently, the design of miniaturized electronic devices cannot rely on empirical iteration alone. It requires multiscale modeling that connects fundamental material behavior to system-level performance, manufacturing yield, and long-term reliability.

The challenge is compounded by the fact that BaTiO₃ is not a simple linear dielectric. Its properties depend on phase transitions, domain structures, grain size, dopants, oxygen stoichiometry, strain, and electrode chemistry [3]. At the atomic scale, ferroelectricity arises from cooperative displacements of titanium and oxygen ions within the perovskite lattice [4]. At the mesoscale, domains and domain walls rearrange under electric fields and mechanical stress, producing nonlinear dielectric responses and hysteresis [5]. At the continuum and device scales, these effects appear as effective permittivity, leakage current, breakdown voltage, and fatigue resistance. A multiscale model must therefore mediate between explanations that are too local to inform device design and descriptions that are too coarse to capture degradation mechanisms.

This paper examines multiscale modeling of BaTiO₃-based dielectric materials from a system-level perspective. It emphasizes structural trade-offs, architecture, governance, infrastructure, deployment, sustainability, robustness, fairness, and policy implications. The central argument is that multiscale modeling is not only a computational bridge between scales but also an institutional and epistemic bridge between materials science, electrical engineering, manufacturing, environmental policy, and social responsibility. The paper first reviews the material foundations of BaTiO₃ dielectric behavior. It then develops a multiscale modeling architecture, discusses fabrication and integration trade-offs, analyzes reliability and failure governance, explores sustainability and resource justice, and concludes with deployment and policy perspectives.

2. Material Foundations and Multiscale Behavior

BaTiO₃ is a perovskite oxide whose functional properties emerge from a delicate balance between ionic bonding, lattice instability, and external constraints. In the bulk, it undergoes temperature-dependent phase transitions that influence permittivity and polarization. In thin films and fine-grained ceramics, these transitions are modified by strain, surface energy, defects, and dopant distributions [6]. The result is that a BaTiO₃ layer in a miniaturized device cannot be treated as a homogeneous medium. Its effective dielectric response is a statistical and microstructural property that reflects grain size distribution, porosity, secondary phases, and interface states [7]. Modeling must therefore represent not only average behavior but also variability, because variability determines yield and reliability in high-density architectures.

At the atomic scale, first-principles methods can clarify the origin of polarization, the role of dopants, and the stability of ferroelectric domains under strain [8]. Such methods are essential for understanding why certain dopants suppress leakage or shift transition temperatures. However, they cannot directly predict the behavior of a multilayer ceramic capacitor containing thousands of grains and interfaces. At the mesoscale, phase-field and domain-wall models can describe polarization switching, domain nucleation, and defect migration [9]. These models reveal how local pinning sites and grain boundaries can delay switching or create hot spots for breakdown. They also show that dielectric loss and nonlinearity are not merely intrinsic material properties but consequences of microstructural topology.

At the continuum scale, electromechanical and thermal formulations describe how electric fields, mechanical stress, and temperature gradients interact across device geometries [10]. These formulations are necessary for predicting field enhancement at electrode edges, stress concentration near vias, and thermal runaway in densely packed layers. Yet continuum models require constitutive parameters that are themselves scale-dependent and process-dependent. For example, the permittivity of a thin BaTiO₃ film may differ substantially from that of a bulk ceramic because of interfacial dead layers, strain gradients, and incomplete sintering [11]. Multiscale modeling must therefore avoid treating parameters as fixed constants. It must treat them as outputs of lower-scale simulations and as inputs to higher-scale reliability assessments.

The material foundations also include defect chemistry and interface science. Oxygen vacancies, acceptor states, and space-charge layers can dominate leakage and degradation under high fields [12]. These phenomena are especially important in ultrathin layers, where the interface-to-volume ratio is large. A system-level model must connect defect kinetics to device-level failure rates. This connection is not purely technical; it shapes warranty policies, safety margins, and qualification standards. If degradation mechanisms are poorly represented, then miniaturized devices may pass initial testing but fail in the field under combined electrical, thermal, and mechanical stress.

3. Multiscale Modeling Architecture and Cross-Scale Coupling

A credible multiscale modeling architecture for BaTiO₃-based dielectrics must be modular but not fragmented. It should allow atomic-scale insights to inform mesoscale defect models, mesoscale models to inform continuum constitutive laws, and continuum models to inform statistical reliability and manufacturing yield predictions [13]. This architecture resembles a staged translation system rather than a single monolithic simulation. Each stage has its own assumptions, validity domain, and uncertainty profile. The central methodological challenge is to propagate uncertainty across scales without losing the physical mechanisms that matter at the device level.

One approach is hierarchical coupling, in which lower-scale simulations generate parameters or trends that are embedded in higher-scale models. For example, atomic calculations can estimate domain wall energies, while phase-field models use those energies to simulate switching behavior under realistic boundary conditions. The outputs of phase-field simulations, such as coercive field distributions or switching time statistics, can then be used in circuit-level models of capacitors and power delivery networks [14]. This hierarchy is computationally efficient, but it can obscure feedback effects. In real devices, electric field redistribution and thermal gradients can alter domain behavior, which in turn changes dielectric response and loss. A purely one-way hierarchy may therefore miss runaway mechanisms.

Another approach is concurrent coupling, in which different scales are solved simultaneously in overlapping regions. This is powerful for interface-dominated problems, such as crack propagation near electrodes or breakdown initiation at grain boundaries. However, concurrent coupling is computationally expensive and difficult to calibrate for large-area devices. In practice, a hybrid strategy is often preferable. Regions of high risk, such as electrode edges or defective grains, can be modeled with finer resolution, while bulk regions use reduced-order representations. This spatial adaptivity aligns with system-level priorities: engineers need accurate predictions where failure is likely, not uniform fidelity everywhere.

Data-driven methods can also support multiscale modeling by learning surrogate models from simulation databases and experimental measurements [15]. Machine learning can accelerate parameter estimation, identify dominant mechanisms, and quantify uncertainty. However, data-driven models must remain interpretable enough to support engineering decisions and regulatory review. A black-box model that predicts failure without revealing whether the cause is oxygen vacancy migration, thermal stress, or domain pinning is of limited value in qualification and governance. Therefore, multiscale modeling should combine physics-based structure with data-driven acceleration, producing models that are both predictive and accountable.

The architecture must also include manufacturing variability. Real BaTiO₃ layers are not ideal crystals. They contain distributions of grain sizes, pores, dopant concentrations, and interface states. These distributions arise from powder synthesis, tape casting, lamination, binder burnout, and sintering. A multiscale model that ignores manufacturing variability may predict ideal performance but fail to predict yield. By contrast, a stochastic multiscale framework can connect process parameters to microstructural distributions and then to electrical performance. This enables design for manufacturability, where tolerances and process windows are chosen to ensure robust operation rather than peak performance in a laboratory sample.

4. Fabrication, Integration, and Structural Trade-Offs in Miniaturized Devices

Fabrication is where multiscale modeling meets industrial reality. Ultrathin BaTiO₃ films for multilayer ceramic capacitors require controlled particle size, uniform green sheets, minimal defects, and compatible co-firing with base-metal electrodes. Recent work on aqueous tape casting for ultrathin multilayer ceramic capacitor applications illustrates how processing chemistry, slurry rheology, drying, and sintering jointly determine film quality and dielectric performance [16]. Such studies are important because they show that device miniaturization is not only a matter of reducing layer thickness. It requires re-engineering the entire process chain to avoid agglomeration, cracking, delamination, and interfacial reactions.

The structural trade-offs are severe. Increasing capacitance density typically requires thinner dielectric layers, higher permittivity, or more layers. Thinner layers increase electric field stress and make defects more consequential. Higher permittivity often comes with stronger nonlinearity, greater temperature dependence, and higher loss. More layers increase volumetric complexity, thermal resistance, and mechanical stress. Multiscale modeling can help navigate these trade-offs by predicting how changes in grain size, dopant profile, and electrode roughness affect breakdown strength, leakage, and fatigue. It can also identify design regions where improvements in one metric cause unacceptable degradation in another.

Integration adds further complexity. Miniaturized electronic devices often co-locate capacitors with logic, power converters, sensors, and radio-frequency components. Thermal expansion mismatch between BaTiO₃ and surrounding materials can cause cracking or delamination during assembly and operation. Electrode materials, solders, underfills, and package substrates all interact with the dielectric. At the system level, the dielectric is not an isolated component but part of a coupled electrical, thermal, and mechanical network. Multiscale models must therefore include boundary conditions that represent the package, not just the material sample. This is especially important for embedded capacitors, where the dielectric is surrounded by polymer, metal, and semiconductor layers with different stiffness and thermal conductivity.

Reliability specifications also shape fabrication choices. Automotive, aerospace, medical, and telecommunications applications demand different balances of temperature range, voltage derating, humidity resistance, and lifetime. A dielectric that performs well in a consumer device may fail in an automotive power module. Multiscale modeling can support application-specific qualification by simulating accelerated tests and extrapolating to field conditions. However, extrapolation requires careful treatment of degradation kinetics and failure statistics [17]. If models are calibrated only on short-term tests, they may underestimate long-term failure modes such as fatigue crack growth, space-charge accumulation, or electrode migration.

The integration of ferroelectric and resistive switching phenomena further complicates the picture. BaTiO₃ and related oxides can exhibit resistance changes under electrical bias, which may be useful for memory or neuromorphic devices but harmful for capacitors [18]. In miniaturized systems, unintended switching or leakage paths can degrade power integrity and signal fidelity. Multiscale modeling should therefore consider not only intended dielectric function but also parasitic or emergent behaviors. This requires collaboration between materials scientists, device engineers, circuit designers, and reliability analysts. The modeling framework becomes a shared boundary object that allows different communities to reason about the same system without reducing it to a single discipline.

5. Reliability, Robustness, and Failure Governance

Reliability is the ultimate test of any multiscale model. In miniaturized BaTiO₃-based devices, failure can occur through breakdown, leakage, capacitance loss, fatigue, thermal runaway, or mechanical fracture. Each failure mode has multiscale origins. Breakdown may begin at a defect, propagate along grain boundaries, and be accelerated by local heating. Fatigue may involve domain wall pinning, defect redistribution, and stress accumulation. Capacitance loss may arise from phase degradation, interfacial reactions, or electrode depletion. A model that captures only one mechanism may misestimate lifetime under combined stresses.

Robustness requires more than average-case prediction. It requires understanding tails of distributions, rare events, and correlated failures. In a multilayer ceramic capacitor with many layers, the weakest layer may determine failure. If defects are randomly distributed, reliability can be improved by reducing layer area or increasing redundancy. But if defects are correlated through processing, such as systematic edge defects or binder burnout cracks, then redundancy may not help. Multiscale modeling can inform these decisions by simulating defect populations and their spatial correlations. It can also support probabilistic design, where safety margins are set according to quantified uncertainty rather than convention.

Failure governance is the institutional counterpart to reliability engineering. Standards bodies, manufacturers, regulators, and customers must agree on test methods, acceleration factors, and acceptable risk levels. Multiscale models can inform standards by providing mechanistic explanations for observed failures. However, they can also create new uncertainties if they are opaque or inconsistently validated. Governance therefore requires model documentation, validation against independent experiments, and clear communication of assumptions. In safety-critical applications, a model that cannot be audited may be rejected even if it is accurate, because accountability matters as much as prediction [19].

Cross-scale robustness also involves cyber-physical and infrastructural dimensions. Miniaturized devices are embedded in power grids, communication networks, medical systems, and transportation systems. A dielectric failure in a single capacitor may seem minor,

but in a power converter or implantable device it can trigger systemic consequences. Multiscale modeling can contribute to resilience engineering by identifying failure propagation paths and design interventions. For example, if a capacitor degrades gradually, then condition monitoring and predictive maintenance can be used. If it fails suddenly, then redundancy and fault isolation become more important. The choice depends on the failure physics, which multiscale models help clarify.

The broader lesson is that reliability is not a property of a material alone. It is a property of a socio-technical system that includes design, manufacturing, testing, deployment, maintenance, and regulation. Multiscale modeling can support this system only if it is integrated into decision workflows. A model that remains in a research paper has limited impact. A model that informs process control, qualification tests, and field monitoring becomes part of the infrastructure of trust that sustains miniaturized electronics.

6. Sustainability, Resource Justice, and Policy Implications

The sustainability of BaTiO₃-based dielectric materials is often overlooked because the material itself is not as widely discussed as lithium, cobalt, or rare earth elements. Nevertheless, barium and titanium have supply chains with environmental and social consequences. Barium is derived from barite mining, while titanium is processed through energy-intensive routes. Multilayer ceramic capacitor manufacturing involves high-temperature sintering, solvent or aqueous processing, electrode metallurgy, and global logistics. The cumulative energy and material footprint of miniaturized electronics is substantial, even if individual components are small. Multiscale modeling can support sustainability by optimizing process temperatures, reducing scrap, improving yield, and predicting lifetime extension.

Resource justice adds a distributional concern. The benefits of miniaturized electronics are global, but the extraction and processing burdens are often concentrated in specific regions. Workers and communities near mining and manufacturing sites may face health and environmental risks. Policy instruments such as extended producer responsibility, critical raw material strategies, and environmental due diligence aim to address these imbalances [21]. However, such policies require data about material flows, manufacturing emissions, and product lifetimes. Multiscale models can contribute by linking material composition and processing choices to environmental indicators. They can also help identify substitutes or design strategies that reduce reliance on constrained resources.

Circular economy approaches introduce another layer. BaTiO₃-based components are difficult to recycle because they are often embedded in complex packages with metals, polymers, and semiconductors. Design for disassembly, material labeling, and recovery technologies can improve circularity, but they must be compatible with electrical performance requirements. Multiscale modeling can evaluate trade-offs between recyclability and dielectric reliability. For example, a change in dopant or electrode chemistry might improve disassembly but reduce breakdown strength. Such trade-offs cannot be resolved by materials science alone. They require policy frameworks that assign value to environmental outcomes and social equity [22].

Fairness also matters in access to technology and in the distribution of environmental burdens. If high-performance miniaturized devices are developed mainly for affluent markets, then the environmental costs may be externalized to producing regions while the benefits accrue elsewhere. Governance mechanisms such as transparent supply chains, fair labor standards,

and technology transfer can help. Multiscale modeling can support these goals by making material and energy flows more visible. It can also inform life-cycle assessment by providing more accurate predictions of device lifetime and failure, which are key inputs to environmental impact calculations.

The policy implication is that multiscale modeling should be developed as a public-interest capability, not only as a proprietary advantage. Open standards for data formats, validation benchmarks, and uncertainty reporting can increase trust and interoperability. Regulatory frameworks for critical materials and electronic waste can create incentives for sustainable design. Research funding can prioritize models that integrate environmental and social variables alongside technical performance. In this way, multiscale modeling becomes part of a broader governance architecture for responsible innovation [23].

7. Deployment, Infrastructure, and Socio-Technical Governance

Deployment of BaTiO₃-based miniaturized devices depends on manufacturing infrastructure, supply chain resilience, and workforce capabilities. A multiscale model that predicts material behavior is useful only if it can be translated into process control, quality assurance, and design tools. This translation requires digital infrastructure: databases, simulation pipelines, metrology, and communication standards. It also requires human infrastructure: engineers who understand both materials and systems, technicians who can operate advanced manufacturing equipment, and regulators who can evaluate new technologies [24].

Supply chain resilience is a critical concern. BaTiO₃-based components rely on barium, titanium, dopants, electrode metals, and specialized equipment. Geopolitical disruptions, trade restrictions, or environmental regulations can affect availability and cost. Multiscale modeling can support resilience by enabling rapid substitution studies, process re-optimization, and lifetime prediction under new operating conditions. However, these capabilities require shared data and collaborative governance. If each manufacturer keeps its models proprietary and incompatible, then the entire ecosystem may be slower to adapt to shocks.

Socio-technical governance must also address the risk of over-reliance on simulation. Models are powerful but imperfect. They can create false confidence if their uncertainties are not communicated. In safety-critical applications, simulation should complement, not replace, physical testing and field experience. Governance should require validation, verification, and uncertainty quantification. It should also ensure that models are updated as new materials, processes, and failure data emerge. This is especially important for miniaturized devices, where small changes in layer thickness or interface chemistry can produce large changes in reliability.

Finally, deployment should consider equity and inclusion. Advanced electronic devices enable communication, healthcare, education, and economic participation. If miniaturized dielectric technologies are concentrated in a few firms or regions, then the benefits may be unevenly distributed. Policy can support open research, technology transfer, and local manufacturing capacity. Multiscale modeling can contribute by lowering the cost of experimentation and enabling more participants to engage in materials innovation. When coupled with fair governance, it can help democratize the development of next-generation electronic systems [25].

8. Conclusion

Multiscale modeling of BaTiO₃-based dielectric materials for miniaturized electronic devices is a technical and socio-technical undertaking. Technically, it must connect atomic-scale polarization, mesoscale domains and defects, continuum electromechanical behavior, and device-level reliability. It must also incorporate manufacturing variability, interface effects, and coupled thermal, electrical, and mechanical stresses. These connections are essential for predicting capacitance density, breakdown strength, leakage, fatigue, and lifetime in ultrathin multilayer architectures. The discussion of fabrication and integration shows that material performance cannot be separated from process chemistry, electrode compatibility, and package-level design.

At the same time, multiscale modeling is embedded in governance, infrastructure, and policy. Reliability requires standards, validation, and accountability. Sustainability requires attention to resource extraction, energy use, circularity, and environmental justice. Deployment requires resilient supply chains, skilled workforces, interoperable data, and equitable access. Multiscale models can support these goals by making complex trade-offs visible and by enabling more informed decisions across disciplines. However, they must be developed and governed as public-interest infrastructures, not merely as proprietary tools.

The forward-looking agenda is therefore twofold. First, research should advance hybrid, uncertainty-aware, manufacturing-aware multiscale frameworks that are validated across scales and applications. Second, institutions should create the standards, data-sharing arrangements, and policy incentives needed to translate those frameworks into responsible practice. If these technical and governance dimensions advance together, BaTiO₃-based dielectrics can continue to enable miniaturized electronics without obscuring the material, environmental, and social costs of that miniaturization.

References

1. Kishi, H., Mizuno, Y., & Chazono, H. (2003). Base-metal electrode-multilayer ceramic capacitors: Past, present and future perspectives. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 42(1R), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.42.1>
2. Scott, J. F. (2007). Applications of modern ferroelectrics. *Science*, 315(5814), 954-959. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139560>
3. Haertling, G. H. (1999). Ferroelectric ceramics: History and technology. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 82(4), 797-818. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1999.tb01840.x>
4. Setter, N., Damjanovic, D., Eng, L., Fox, G., Gevorgian, S., Hong, S., Kingon, A., Kohlstedt, H., Park, N. Y., Stephenson, G. B., Stolitchnov, I., Taganstev, A. K., Taylor, D. V., Yamada, T., & Streiffer, S. (2006). Ferroelectric thin films: Review of materials, properties, and applications. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 100(5), 051606. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2336999>
5. Dawber, M., Rabe, K. M., & Scott, J. F. (2005). Physics of thin-film ferroelectric oxides. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 77(4), 1083-1130. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.1083>
6. Cohen, R. E. (1992). Origin of ferroelectricity in perovskite oxides. *Nature*, 358(6382), 136-138. <https://doi.org/10.1038/358136a0>

7. Rabe, K. M., Ahn, C. H., & Triscone, J.-M. (2007). *Physics of ferroelectrics: A modern perspective*. Springer.
8. Damjanovic, D. (1998). Ferroelectric, dielectric and piezoelectric properties of ferroelectric thin films and ceramics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 61(9), 1267-1324. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/61/9/002>
9. Frey, M. H., & Payne, D. A. (1996). Grain-size effect on structure and phase transformations for barium titanate. *Physical Review B*, 54(5), 3158-3168. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.3158>
10. Arlt, G., Hennings, D., & de With, G. (1985). Dielectric properties of fine-grained barium titanate ceramics. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 58(4), 1619-1625. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.336051>
11. Buscaglia, M. T., Viviani, M., Buscaglia, V., Mitoseriu, L., Testino, A., Nanni, P., Zhao, Z., Nygren, M., Harnagea, C., Piazza, D., & Galassi, C. (2006). Nanostructured barium titanate ceramics: Fundamentals and applications. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 26(14), 2889-2898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2006.02.013>
12. Pramanick, A., Prewitt, A. D., Forrester, J. S., & Jones, J. L. (2012). Domains, domain walls and defects in perovskite ferroelectric oxides: A review of present understanding and recent contributions. *Critical Reviews in Solid State and Materials Sciences*, 37(4), 243-275. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408436.2012.736059>
13. Pan, M.-J., & Randall, C. A. (2010). A brief introduction to ceramic capacitors. *IEEE Electrical Insulation Magazine*, 26(3), 44-50. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MEI.2010.5482787>
14. Randall, C. A. (2001). Scientific and engineering issues of the state-of-the-art and future multilayer capacitors. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 21(16), 3081-3086. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2219\(01\)00404-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0955-2219(01)00404-1)
15. Tang, H., & Sodano, H. A. (2013). Ultra high energy density nanocomposite capacitors with fast discharge using Ba_{0.2}Sr_{0.8}TiO₃ nanowires. *Nano Letters*, 13(4), 1373-1379. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl3037104>
16. Luan, Saiwei, et al. "Fabrication of High Performance BaTiO₃ Films via Aqueous Tape Casting for ultrathin MLCC applications." *Ceramics International* (2025).
17. Zhang, S., Li, F., Jiang, X., Kim, J., Luo, J., & Geng, X. (2015). Advantages and challenges of relaxor-PbTiO₃ ferroelectric crystals for electroacoustic transducers—A review. *Progress in Materials Science*, 68, 1-66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2014.10.002>
18. Waser, R., Dittmann, R., Staikov, G., & Szot, K. (2009). Redox-based resistive switching memories—Nanoionic mechanisms, prospects, and challenges. *Advanced Materials*, 21(25-26), 2632-2663. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200900375>
19. Tadmor, E. B., & Miller, R. E. (2011). *Modeling materials: Continuum, atomistic and multiscale techniques*. Cambridge University Press.
20. Engquist, B., & Lotstedt, P. (2003). The heterogeneous multiscale methods. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences*, 1(1), 87-132.
21. European Commission. (2020). *Critical raw materials resilience: Charting a path towards greater security and sustainability*. Publications Office of the European Union.

22. UNEP. (2024). Global resources outlook 2024: Bend the trend—Pathways to a liveable planet. United Nations Environment Programme.
23. Nissenbaum, H. (2004). Privacy as contextual integrity. *Washington Law Review*, 79(1), 119-157.
24. Winner, L. (1980). Do artifacts have politics? *Daedalus*, 109(1), 121-136.
25. OECD. (2019). Artificial intelligence in society. OECD Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1787/eedfee77-en>